

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,475	01/03/2006	Pal Okros	3347-0103PUS1	2980
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			EXAMINER	
			CECIL, TERRY K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	•
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/540,475 OKROS, PAL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Mr. Terry K. Cecil 1797 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 January 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 June 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-23-2005.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/540,475 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- Claim 4 is objected to because of the following:
- · Commas are missing after the series of functions after the word "determining".

Appropriate correction is required.

Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following:
- The subject matter of claims 10, 12-14, 16 and 18-29 is missing from the specification.
- Since claims are dynamic changing throughout prosecution, references to claim numbers in the specification should be removed.
- The description should be amended such that reference nos. are positioned after the element they refer to.
- Numerous errors exist in the specification, e.g. "as" before "safe" (page 5, line 5) should be
 replaced with "a"; "is leaving" should be replaced with "leaves" (page 5, second to last line);
 and "increases" should be "increased". This list is not meant to be exhaustive. Applicant
 should check the entire description.
- The U.S. and foreign application mentioned in the description have been cited by the
 examiner in the attached form 892. However, a copy of the publication of page 1 is request:
 pages 593-599 of the book "Water supply, canalization, gas supply of buildings" (written by
 BaUai and Marten, published by Mtiszaki K6nyvkiad6, Budapest, 1977).

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because of the following:

They are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the
invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 10, 12-14, 16 and
18-29 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be
entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,475 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 10, 12-14, 16 and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. These new claims added in the preliminary amendment of 6-23-2005 are not supported by the international application PCT/HU2002/000164 (of which the instant application is a national phase thereof). Note that in a 371, amendments are not permitted to introduce "new matter" into the application. See PCT Article 34(2)(b) and MPEP 1893.01(a)(3).

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because of the following reasons:
- Since claims 10, 12-14, 16 and 18-29 are not described in the specification nor the drawings
 and because they are replete with unclear terms lacking antecedent basis, the claims are
 indefinite such that further examination of the claims are precluded and have not been further
 treated on the merits.
- The following terms lack antecedent basis: "the side wall" and "the clean water valve"
 (claim 1); "the washer" (claims 15 and 17); in claim 15, did Applicant intend to claim "said greywater pipe"?

Art Unit: 1797

 Because of the preambles of claims 11, 15 and 17, it is unclear whether Applicant intended for these claims to depend from claim 10 instead.

 The balance of the claims are rejected since they suffer the same defects as the claims from which they depend.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Since claims 10, 12-14, 16 and 18-29 are not described in the specification nor the drawings and because they are replete with unclear terms lacking antecedent basis, a reasonable search of the claims cannot be made and the claims have not been treated under 102/103.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-9, 11, 15 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Applicant's independent claim 1 uses the transitional phase "consisting of" which is a closed end term, limiting the scope of the invention only the elements listed (no other elements are present). See MPEP 2111.03. The transitional phrase "consisting of" excludes any element, step, or ingredient not specified in the claim. In re Gray, 53 F.2d 520, 11 USPQ 255 (CCPA 1931); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948). The closest cited art—DE 3932022 and GB2344132—fail to anticipate or render obvious, alone or in any proper combination, a

Application/Control Number: 10/540,475

Art Unit: 1797

greywater recycling system of claim 1 including only the elements listed in the claimed

arrangement (e.g. both references include storage tanks upstream of the claimed flushing tank).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mr. Terry K. Cecil whose telephone number is (571) 272-1138.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00a-4:30p M-F..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

 $system, see \ http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. \ Should \ you \ have \ questions \ on \ access \ to \ the \ Private \ PAIR$

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mr. Terry K. Cecil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

tke